Franchise   HCO BULLETIN OF MAY 10, 1962   Tech.

PREPCHECKING AND SEC CHECKING

How do you use Form 3(the Joburg), Form 6A and other forms with Prepchecking?

These forms have great value in improving a case, they dig up things. They get off the overts against Scientology that hold up many a case.

Now that Prepchecking is here, with all its vast ability to clean up this life, you still need these forms. For the most general auditor fault in Prepchecking is going too shallow. By using these forms this is to a large measure remedied by the use of all our Sec Check forms as released on HCO Policy Letters or even in Information Letters.

An old auditor, for instance, will make much faster case progress if (or even make case progress) given the Saint Hill Special "last two pages of the Joburg and a Form 6A".

Prepchecking and Sec Checking come together with a simple formula:

IF A SEC-CHECK QUESTION DOESN'T AT ONCE CLEAR ON THE METER BY SIMPLE REVELATION, THE AUDITOR PREPCHECKS IT.

The smoothest way to clean a Sec Check question is to ask the pc to consider it carefully, then clean the needle of any responses to it and go on. There is no varying the question.

If a question doesn't clear on one or two revelations, you then swing straight into a formal Prepcheck of the question.

This specific drill, shortly to become a TR, should be precisely followed.

Auditor (watching meter) (using Sec Check Form question) : "Have you ever stolen anything?"

(Auditor may tell pc if needle reacted and steer pc's attention.)

PC: "I stole a watch once." (Or whatever response)

Auditor: "Thank you. I will now check the question: 'Have you ever stolen any-thing?'"

IF NEEDLE DOESN'T REACT:

Auditor: "That seems clear at the moment." (Asks next Sec Check question.)

IF NEEDLE STILL REACTS:

Auditor: "There's still something on this."

(Auditor writes down the question on his report as a Zero A question. Auditor probes for a specific single overt, finds one, forms a What question for use in a chain, writes it on his report and goes straight into routine Prepchecking. When the What question is nul, the Auditor returns to the same Sec Check question as above, tests it for now being clean. If not, more Prepchecking on it is indicated. If clean now he goes to next question on Form.)

If the auditor knows this drill his progress down a form will be relatively rapid.

The theory of this is that if a question doesn't promptly clear on the needle then it is part of a chain and must be Prepchecked to get all of it.

The phrasing of the What question for Prepchecking is not the Sec Check question. The What question is derived only from the overt discovered.

Any Sec Check question Prepchecked is tested before leaving it just as though it were found reacting in the first place(same drill as above).

USE OF RUDIMENTS IN PREPCHECKING

Do not continually ask the pc "In this session have I missed a withold on you?" while doing any Prepchecking.

In Prepchecking one asks for missed witholds only after cleaning a What question and in End Rudiments.

Prepchecking sends the pc down the track. If the auditor says during Prepchecking a chain, "In this session have I missed a withold on you?" it yanks the pc back to present time and out of whatever incident he or she is in.

In doing a Routine 3 process one asks for missed witholds often and at any time, but not in a Prepcheck session.

If you do five or so Sec Check questions without a single one having to be Prepchecked, it is, however, good policy to ask for missed witholds.

Ask for missed witholds in Prepchecking only after a What question is nul, but always ask and clean it then.

In Routine 3 processes ask for missed witholds at any time.

HELP THE PC

In general, when getting rudiments in or getting off missed witholds or invalidations, help the pc by guiding his attention against the needle.

This is quite simple. The auditor asks the question, the needle instantly reacts, the pc, (as he or she usually does) looks puzzled if the auditir says "It reacts." The pc thinks it over. As he or she is thinking, the auditor will see the same reaction on the needle. Softly the auditor says "That" or "There" or "What's that you're looking at?" As the pc knows what he or she is looking at at that instant, the thing can be dug up.

This is auditor cooperation, not triumph.

Most often the pc does not know what it is that reacts as only unknowns react. Therefore an auditor"s "There" when the needle twitches again, before the pc has answered, co-ordinates with whatever the pc is looking at and thus it can be spotted and revealed by the pc. This is only done when the pc comm lags for a few seconds.

Remember, the pc is always willing to reveal. He or she doesnt know What to reveal. Therein lies the difficulty. Pc's get driven out of session when asked to reveal something, yet do not know what to reveal.

By the auditor saying "There" or "What's that?" quietly each time the needle reacts newly, the pc is led to discover what should be revealed.

Auditors and pcs get into a games condition in Prepchecking and rudiments only when the auditor refuses this help to the pc.

New auditors routinely believe that in Prepchecking the pc knows the answer and won't give it. This is an error. If the pc knew all the answer, it wouldnt react on the meter.

Old timers have found out that only if they steer by repeated meter reaction, giving the pc "There" or "What's that?" can the pc answer up on most rudiments questions, missed witholds, and so on.

This is the only use of reads other than instant reads on the E-Meter.

Help the pc. He doesn't know. Otherwise the needle would never react.

Even if doing a Sec Check form still call it Prepchecking when done this way. This is "Prepchecking on Forms". The Zero for the whole lot of course is: "Are you witholding anything?" Thus Sec Check form questions, when they do not nul at one crack become Zero A questions, and the What formed from the overt found becomes the No. 1. question.

LRH:jw:ro

L. RON HUBBARD.

THE COMMANDS.

In case of any of the conditions (1) to (15) above ask the pc one of the following commands and CLEAN THE NEEDLE OF ALL INSTANT READ. Ask the exact question you asked the first time as a final test. The needle must be clean of all instant reaction before you can go on to anything else. It helps the pc if each time the needle twitches the auditor says, "That" or "There" quietly but only to help the pc see what is twitching. One doesn't interrupt the pc if he or shee is already giving it. This prompting is the only use of latent reads in Scientology - to help the pc spot what reacted in the first place.

The commonist questions:

"In this session, have I missed a withold on you?"
"In this session have I failed to find out something?"
"In this session is there something I don't know about you?"
   The best beginning rudiments withold question:
"Since the last session is there something you have done that I don't know about?"
   Prepcheck Zero Questions follow:
"Has somebody failed to find out about you who should have?"
"Has anyone ever failed to find out something about you?"
"Is there something I failed to find out about you?"
"Have you ever successfully hidden something from an auditor?"
"Have you ever done something somebody failed to discover?"
"Have you ever evaded discovery in this lifetime?"
"Have you ever hidden successfully?"
"Has anyone ever failed to locate you?"
   (These Zeroes do not produce "What" questions until the auditor has located a specific overt.)

When Prepchecking, when running any process but the CCH's, if any one of the auditing circumstances in (1) to (15) above occur, ask for missed witholds. Before leaving any chain of overts in Prepchecking, or during Prepchecking, ask frequently for missed witholds, "Have I missed any withold on you?" or as above.

Do not conclude intensives on any process without cleaning up missed witholds.

Asking for missed witholds does not upset the dictum of using no O/W processes in rudiments.

Most missed witholds clean up at once on 2 way comm providing the auditor doesn't ask leading questions about what the pc is saying. Two way comm consists of asking for what the meter showed, acknowledging what the pc said and checking the meter again with the missed withold question. If pc says "I was mad at my wife." as an answer, just ack and check the meter with the missed withold question. Don't say, "What was she doing?"

In cleaning missed witholds do not use the Prepcheck system unless you are Prepchecking. And even in Prepchecking, if the zero is not a missed withold question and you are only checking for missed witholds amid other activities, do it simply as above, by two way comm, not by the Prepcheck system.

To get auditing into a state of perfection, to get clearing general, all we have to do is:

(1) Know our basics (Axioms, Scales, Codes, the fundamental theory about the thetan and the mind);

(2) Know our practical (TR's, Model Session, E-Meter, CCH's, Prepchecking, and clearing routines.)

In actual fact this is not much to ask. For the return is smooth results and a far, far better world. And HPA/HCA can learn the data in (1) above and all but clearing routines in (2). An HPA/HCA should know these things to perfection. They are not hard to learn. Additives and interpretations are hard to get around. Not the actual data and performance.

_____

Knowing these things, one also needs to know that all one has to do is clean the E-Meter of missed witholds to make any pc sit up and get audited smoothly, and all is as happy as a summer dream.

_____

We are making all our own trouble. Our trouble is lack of precise application of Scientology. We fail to apply it in our lives or sessions and try something bizarre and then we fail too. And with our TR's, Model Session and meters most of all failing to pick up and clean up MISSED WITHOLDS.

_____

We don't have to clean up all the witholds if we keep the missed witholds cleaned up.

Give a new auditor the order to clean up "Missed Witholds" and he or she will invariably start asking the pc for witholds. That's a mistake. You ask the pc for Missed Witholds. Why stir up new ones to be missed when you haven't cleaned up those already missed? Instead of putting out the fire we pour on gun powder. Why find more you can then miss when you haven't found those that have been missed.

_____

Don't be so confounded reasonable about the pc's complaints. Sure, they may all be true BUT he's complaining only because witholds have been missed. Only then does the pc complain bitterly.

_____

Whatever else you learn, learn and understand this please. Your auditing future hangs on it. The fate of Scientology hangs on it. Ask for missed witholds when sessions go wrong. Get the missed witholds when life goes wrong. Pick up the missed witholds when staffs go wrong. Only then can we win and grow. We're waiting for you to become technically perfect with TR's, Model Session and the E-Meter, to be able to do CCH"s and Prepchecking and clearing techniques, and to learn to spot and pick up missed witholds.

If pc's, organizations and even Scientology vanish from Man's view it will be because you did not learn and use these things.

LRH:jw:ro

L. RON HUBBARD